Getting more light into the dark room of editorial conflicts of interest

Ana Marušić¹, Rafael Dal-Ré²

¹ Journal of Global Health and Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

current standard in research is declaration of financial and nonfinancial conflicts of interest (CoI) related to the research process and the publication of results. However, policies on disclosing researchers' CoI introduced by research funders, such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in the USA, do not work in practice [1] and there are calls for the creation of publicly accessible registries of researchers' CoI [2]. Journals have also developed CoI policies for authors, who present the results of their research in journals, and for peer reviewers, who participate in the evaluation of the work submitted to the journal [3,4].

What about journal editors, who may also have competing interests in relation to submitted manuscripts and even be authors on manuscripts submitted to their own journals? The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) recommends that journal editors publicly disclose their potential CoI [3]. However, a number of studies demonstrate that journal editors in general do not follow the policies they impose on authors and reviewers.

Studies presented in Table 1 clearly show that the transparency of disclosure of editorial CoI has not improved across journals from a range of disciplines and influence in the scientific community in the last 12 years, despite greater awareness and the published evidence about the problem [3]. With the availability of the information on payments to physicians in the US through the Physician Sunshine Act from August 2013, it also became clear that physician-editors working as clinicians in the US received industry payments for their professional and research work [13,15-17]. This practice seems to be particularly common for high impact journals, both in general/internal medical and specialty disciplines (Table 1). The payments to editors varied a lot both between and within journals of different medical fields. For example, although the same percentage (28%) of physicians working as editors in 4 general/internal medicine journal received general payments (eg, consultancy, expert opinion, travel) from industry in 2014, the mean and the maximum payments received by editors of the New England Journal of Medicine and JAMA were 72 times (US\$3899 vs US\$54) and 100 times (US\$78617 vs US\$795) higher that those received by editors of JAMA Internal Medicine and Annals of Internal Medicine, respectively [13]. 76% of cardiology journal editors and 56% of surgery journal editors received general industry payments, with the mean payment of US\$225556 and US\$246, respectively, and the maximum payments of US\$10981153 and US\$1922, respectively [13]. Another analysis showed that 10%, 44% and 2% editors of internal medicine, cardiology and surgery journals with the highest number of citations in 2015 per specialty received more than US\$10000 as general payments from industry, respectively [17].

² Epidemiology Unit, Health Research Institute-Fundación Jiménez Díaz University Hospital, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Study (authors, year)	JOURNALS INCLUDED	Finding				
Declaration of CoI:						
Cooper et al., 2006 [5]	91 high-impact general and specialty biomedical journals	40% of the journals stated that they had CoI policies for editors				
Bhargava et al., 2007 [6]	12 gastroenterology and hepatology journals	17% of the journals publicly disclosed editorial CoI				
Andraku et al., 2009 [7]	42 ophthalmology journals	5% of the journals publicly disclosed editorial CoI				
Alfonso et al, 2012 [8]	45 European Society of Cardiology National Cardiovascular Journals	18% of the journals had a specific policy on editors' CoI				
Qureshi et al., 2012 [9]	15 gastroenterology and hepatology journals	33% of the journals publicly disclosed CoI policies for editors				
Smith et al., 2012 [10]	10 high-impact medical journals	40% of the journals have easily accessible CoI policies for editors				
Bosch et al., 2013 [11]	399 high-impact biomedical journals	39% of the journals required editors' CoI disclosures				
Broga et al., 2014 [12]	68 biomedical journals from Southeast and Eastern Europe	3% of the journals had CoI policies for editors				
Liu et al., 2017 [13]	52 influential US medical journals from 25 specialties	33% of the journals had readily available editors' CoI policies				
Yang et al., 2017 [14]	30 Chinese-language and 37 English-language journals in China	No Chinese-language journals had CoI policies for editors; 50% of editorials in English-language journals had CoI disclosure				
Payments received by editors:						
Liu et al., 2017 [13]	713 editors from 52 influential US medical journals from 25 specialties	51% of the editors received general and 19.5% research payments in 2014				
Mehlman et al., 2017 [15]	15 orthopaedic surgery journals	4-73% of editorial board members received >US\$10000 in 2014				
Verma, 2017 [16]	85 editorial board members from 3 US radiation oncology journals	76% of the editorial board members received payment in 2013-2015				
Wong et al., 2017 [17]	333 editorial board members from 35 highly cited medical journals from 7 specialties	64% editorial board members received any industry-associated payments in 2013-2016				

*Articles were identified in PubMed, Scopus, arXiv.org, PeerJ preprint and F1000 Resarch on 24 March 2018 by using the search key-words "conflict(s) of interest", "competing interest(s)", "declaration(s)" and "payment(s)" in combination with the key-word "editor(s)"

Why is disclosure of industry payments to editors relevant? Evidence shows that industry payments, even if they are modest, such as for meals, are associated with higher rates of prescription of brand-name medicines although generic drugs of similar efficacy are available, as well as greater expenditure on prescriptions per patient [18-21]. This means that editors who received industry payments, regardless of the amount, can make biased decisions, too, although sometimes in the opposite direction to the expected one [22]. On the other hand, the individuals do not like being considered biased, and mandating disclosure of potential CoI may be an incentive to avoid them [23].

The ICMJE states that any journal editor with a potential CoI should recuse himself or herself from editorial decisions affecting manuscripts that are considered for publication, especially when the editor is the author of the submitted work. The editor-in-chief must also know the potential CoI of the members of the editorial team and make them public on a regular basis [3]. Thus, the editorial CoI policy places the three main actors of the editorial process – authors, external reviewers and editors on the same level of the transparency demand. Unfortunately, the member journals of the ICMJE also do not follow well their own recommendations. We checked the availability of policies for declaring CoI for authors, reviewers and editors in the public domain, ie, at the journal web-pages, as well as the existence of public declaration of individual CoIs by journal editors (Table 2).

While all 14 ICMJE member journals had detailed CoI declaration policies for authors, only 36% (5/14) had easily available policies for declaring reviewers' CoIs, and those that use open peer review system (eg, *BMJ*) also publish CoI declaration for individual reviewers together with the relevant article. Only 36% (5/14) IC-MJE member journals had publicly disclosed policies about managing editorial CoIs and 2 publicly posted declarations of current individual CoI for their editors. It is possible that those ICMJE-member journals that do not publicly disclose their editors' individual CoI follow them internally when appropriate, but this would be against ICMJE recommendations for the transparency of CoI disclosures.

What can be done in the situation where we have so many good policies but so few actual application in practice? First, the ICMJE member journals should make sure that all recommended policies are fully implemented, so that they set real standards and examples for the editorial community. The policies on editorial CoI and declarations of individual CoIs for editors should be posted and easily identifiable on journal's web pages. Transparency of editor's CoI could be further increased by publishing individual ed-

Table	2.	Conflict o	f interest (CoI)	policies of	f journal	members	of the	International	Committee o	f Journal	Editors (ICMJE	E)*
-------	----	------------	--------------	------	-------------	-----------	---------	--------	---------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	-----

JOURNAL	Owner, country	Col po	LICY FOR:	Editors' Col declaration		
		Authors	Reviewers	Policy	Individual declarations	
Annals of Internal Medicine	American College of Physicians, USA	Yes	Yes	No	No	
BMJ	British Medical Association, UK	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulletin of the WHO	World Health Organization, Switzerland	Yes	No	No	No	
Deutsches Ärzteblatt	German Medical Association, Germany	Yes	No	No	No	
Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences	Jimma University, Ethiopia	No	No	No	No	
Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
JAMA	American Medical Association, USA	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Journal of Korean Medical Science	Korean Academy of Medical Sciences, Korean Medical Association, South Korea	Yes	No	No	No	
Lancet	Elsevier, UK	Yes	No	No	No	
New England Journal of Medicine	Massachusetts Medical Society, USA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
New Zealand Medical Journal	New Zealand Medical Association, New Zealand	Yes	No	No	No	
PLOS Medicine	Public Library of Science, USA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Revista Médica de Chile	Sociedad Médica de Santiago, Chile	Yes	No	No	No	
Ugeskrift for Laeger	Danish Medical Association, Denmark	Yes	No	No	No	

*Web pages of all ICMJE journals were searched in April 2018. For journals published in more than language, only the English version was searched.

itorial CoI declarations in the journal. In this way, such published item would be indexed in bibliographical databases, clearly visible and properly archived. Annual publication of editorial CoI declarations would ensure that possible changes are recorded or CoI declaration of new editors made public.

Publications of editorial CoI declaration is already the practice in some journals. **Table 3** presents the examples of editorial CoI declarations published as editorials or statements in journals and indexed in PubMed. It can be imagined that such declarations could be indexed with a specific tag, similar to those used to mark specific types of publications in MEDLINE [24], which could make them easily identifiable in bibliographical databases. In this way, disclosures of editorial CoI would reach the level of transparency required for all stakeholders in the publication process.

 Table 3. Examples of individual conflict of interest declarations by journal editors indexed as separate bibliographical items in PubMed

JOURNAL REFERENCE	STATEMENT IF AVAILABLE AS ABSTRACT IN PUBMED
[No authors listed]. Financial disclosure for associate editors of the Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010;77: 347.	-
[No authors listed]. Headache associate editors declaration of conflicts of interest. Head- ache. 2014;54:4-6.	_
Lubowitz JH. Editorial commentary: Editor's conflict of interest. Arthroscopy. 2015;31:1740.	The Editor-in-chief has recused himself from industry consulting, which he performed before assuming the position, and returned re- lated royalties and divested related stock options, in order to mitigate against conflict-of-interest. The Editor discloses affiliation with an in- stitution that receives support from diverse industry partners in sup- port of research and education.
[No authors listed]. Conflict of Interest Declarations by Contributing Editors of the Spe-	
man Microbiome Institute (JHMI). mSystems. 2018 Mar 6;3(2). pii: e00010-18.	
Rey C, on behalf of Anales de Pediatría editorial team. Conflicts of interest of the editors. (article in Spanish). An Pediatr (Barc). 2018;88:296-7.	_

Funding: None.

Authorship declaration: AM and RDR equally contributed to the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: AM declares that she participated in the creation of the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest, and that she is the Coeditor in Chief of the *Journal of Global Health*. RDR declares no conflicts of interest.

- 1 Reardon S. NIH disclosure rules falter. Nature. 2015;525:300-1. Medline:26381962 doi:10.1038/525300a
- **2** Dunn AG, Coiera E, Mandl KD, Bourgeois FT. Conflict of interest disclosure in biomedical research: a review of current practices, biases, and the role of public registries in improving transparency. Res Integr Peer Rev. 2016;1:1. Med-line:27158530 doi:10.1186/s41073-016-0006-7
- **3** International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals. Available at: http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/author-responsibilities—conflicts-of-interest.html.
- 4 Nature Editors. Outside interests. Nature Research journals will ask authors to declare non-financial conflicts. Nature. 2018;554:6. Medline:29388964 doi:10.1038/d41586-018-01420-8
- 5 Cooper RJ, Gupta M, Wilkes MS. Hoffman JRl. Conflict of interest disclosure policies and practices in peer-reviewed biomedical journals. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21:1248-52. Medline:17105524 doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00598.x
- 6 Bhargava N, Qureshi J, Vakil N. Funding source and conflict of interest disclosures by authors and editors in gastroenterology specialty journals. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007;102:1146-50. Medline:17531007 doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01268.x
- 7 Anraku A, Jin YP, Trope GE, Buys YM. Survey of conflict-of-interest disclosure policies of ophthalmology journals. Ophthalmology. 2009;116:1093-6. Medline:19376583 doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2008.12.053
- 8 Alfonso F, Timmis A, Pinto FJ, Ambrosio G, Ector H, Kulakowski P, et al; Editors' Network European Society of Cardiology Task Force. Conflict of interest policies and disclosure requirements among European Society of Cardiology National Cardiovascular Journals. Eur Heart J. 2012;33:587-94. Medline:22383145 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr464
- 9 Qureshi J, Sud A, Vakil N. Funding source and conflict of interest disclosures by authors and editors in gastroenterology specialty journals revisited. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2012;35:690-5. Medline:22257079 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04989.x
- 10 Smith E, Potvin MJ, Williams-Jones B. Accessibility and transparency of editor conflicts of interest policy instruments in medical journals. J Med Ethics. 2012;38:679-84. Medline:22556312 doi:10.1136/medethics-2012-100524
- 11 Bosch X, Pericas JM, Hernández C, Doti P. Financial, nonfinancial and editors' conflicts of interest in high-impact biomedical journals. Eur J Clin Invest. 2013;43:660-7. Medline:23550719 doi:10.1111/eci.12090
- 12 Broga M, Mijaljica G, Waligora M, Keis A, Marusic A. Publication ethics in biomedical journals from countries in Central and Eastern Europe. Sci Eng Ethics. 2014;20:99-109. Medline:23456142 doi:10.1007/s11948-013-9431-x
- 13 Liu JJ, Bell CM, Matelski JJ, Detsky AS, Cram P. Payments by US pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers to US medical journal editors: retrospective observational study. BMJ. 2017;359:j4619. Medline:29074628 doi:10.1136/ bmj.j4619.
- 14 Yang L, Wang P, Yang R. Conflict of interest reporting in biomedical journals published in China. Account Res. 2017;24:451-7. Medline:29083932 doi:10.1080/08989621.2017.1392246
- 15 Mehlman CT, Okike K, Bhandari M, Kocher MS. Potential financial conflict of interest among physician editorial board members of orthopaedic surgery journals. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017;99:e19. Medline:28244918 doi:10.2106/ JBJS.16.00227
- 16 Verma V. Financial relationships with industry of editorial board members of the three journals of the American Society for Radiation Oncology. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2017;99:286-91. Medline:28871971 doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.03.020
- 17 Wong VSS, Avalos LN, Callaham ML. Industry payments to physician journal editors. Peer J preprints. 20 October 2017. [8 February 2018]. https://peerj.com/preprints/3359/
- **18** Perlis RH, Perlis CS. Physician payments from industry are associated with greater Medicare part D prescribing costs. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0155474. Medline:27183221 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155474
- 19 Fleischman W, Agrawal S, King M, Venkatesh AK, Krumholz HM, McKee D, et al. Association between payments from manufacturers of pharmaceuticals to physicians and regional prescribing: cross sectional ecological study. BMJ. 2016;354:i4189. Medline:27540015 doi:10.1136/bmj.i4189
- 20 DeJong C, Aguilar T, Tseng C-W, Lin GA, Boscardin WJ, Dudley RA. Pharmaceutical industry–sponsored meals and physician prescribing patterns for medicare beneficiaries. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176:1114-22. Medline:27322350 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.2765
- 21 Wood SF, Podrasky J, McMonagle MA, Raveendran J, Bysshe T, Hogenmiller A, et al. Influence of pharmaceutical marketing on Medicare prescriptions in the District of Columbia. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0186060. Medline:29069085 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0186060
- **22** Loewenstein G, Sah S, Cain DM. The unintended consequences of conflict of interest disclosure. JAMA. 2012;307:669-70. Medline:22337676 doi:10.1001/jama.2012.154
- 23 Sah S, Loewenstein G. Nothing to declare. Mandatory and voluntary disclosure leads advisors to avoid conflicts of interest. Psychol Sci. 2014;25:575-84. Medline:24379156 doi:10.1177/0956797613511824
- 24 National Library of Medicine. Fact Sheet. Errata, retractions, and other linked citations in PubMed. Available: https://www.nlm.nih.gov/pubs/factsheets/errata.html. Accessed 16 April 2018.

Correspondence to: Ana Marušić Journal of Global Health ana.marusic@jogh.org