Online Supplementary Document

Li et al. User-fee-removal improves equity of children's healthcare utilization and reduces families' financial burden: evidence from Jamaica

J Glob Health 2017;7:010502

Table S1. ITS regression on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization among children aged less-than 18years old and children aged less than 5-years old, OLS regressions

	Under 18 years old Under			Under 5 yea	ars old	
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty
Trend	0.019*	-0.021	0.028*	0.033	0.003	0.034
	(0.010)	(0.026)	(0.014)	(0.022)	(0.047)	(0.022)
Post	0.158**	0.095	0.147**	0.325*	0.433	0.236
	(0.062)	(0.222)	(0.059)	(0.159)	(0.330)	(0.156)
Post*trend	-0.011	0.016	-0.016	-0.036	-0.032	-0.029
	(0.008)	(0.032)	(0.009)	(0.024)	(0.058)	(0.020)
Age	-0.010***	-0.005	-0.011***	-0.036***	-0.038**	-0.036***
	(0.001)	(0.010)	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.012)	(0.006)
Male	-0.012	-0.023	-0.005	-0.01	-0.048	0.008
	(0.016)	(0.065)	(0.023)	(0.027)	(0.080)	(0.030)
Head of the household	0.057	-0.457**	0.430***			
	(0.248)	(0.172)	(0.050)			
Enrolled in private health insurance	0.104***	0.089	0.117**	0.023	-0.467***	0.074
	(0.032)	(0.127)	(0.042)	(0.038)	(0.134)	(0.055)
Enrolled in public health insurance	0.138	0.286***	0.109	0.174**	0.407***	0.099
	(0.081)	(0.057)	(0.097)	(0.074)	(0.035)	(0.111)

Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the	^{<i>I</i>} ealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the reference group)										
Poorer	0.041			0.065							
	(0.033)			(0.065)							
Middle	0.102***			0.122**							
	(0.023)			(0.051)							
Richer	0.143***			0.159**							
	(0.038)			(0.063)							
Richest	0.122**			0.172**							
	(0.041)			(0.068)							
Household size, members only	-0.007	-0.011	-0.012	-0.006	-0.007	-0.012					
	(0.004)	(0.014)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.021)	(0.010)					
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference group)											
Urban	0.037	0.003	0.054	0.023	0.069	0.027					
	(0.046)	(0.099)	(0.051)	(0.048)	(0.116)	(0.049)					
Town	0.012	-0.019	0.028	0.007	-0.097	0.051					
	(0.055)	(0.072)	(0.051)	(0.062)	(0.132)	(0.056)					
Education level	of the head of the	he household ('	'No education" is	the reference g	group)						
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.105***	-0.295***	-0.001	-0.126***	-0.363***	0.031					
	(0.021)	(0.080)	(0.046)	(0.036)	(0.099)	(0.048)					
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.086**	-0.220***	-0.012	-0.056	-0.191**	0.02					
	(0.039)	(0.040)	(0.064)	(0.040)	(0.065)	(0.060)					
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.119**	-0.165*	-0.059	-0.082	-0.161**	-0.008					
	(0.048)	(0.084)	(0.065)	(0.052)	(0.058)	(0.067)					
cons	0.494***	0.868***	0.482***	0.461**	0.834**	0.495**					
	(0.066)	(0.178)	(0.078)	(0.168)	(0.286)	(0.162)					
N	1931	441	1488	959	237	722					

1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after the interview date to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.

The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard

errors are reported in parentheses.

- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S2. ITS regression on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization among children aged less than18-years old, year 1996-2012

	OLS				Logit		
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)	
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	
Trend	-0.008	-0.018	0.012	-0.033	-0.075	0.051	
	(0.010)	(0.028)	(0.010)	(0.061)	(0.117)	(0.044)	
Level change after user-fee-removal policy (Post)	0.079*	0.058	0.158***	0.358	0.242	0.692***	
	(0.048)	(0.107)	(0.037)	(0.373)	(0.445)	(0.161)	
Trend change after user-fee-removal policy (Post*trend)	0.007	0.018	-0.014	0.027	0.072	-0.058	
	(0.010)	(0.028)	(0.010)	(0.062)	(0.116)	(0.043)	
Age	-0.011***	-0.007	-0.010***	-0.045***	-0.029	-0.042***	
	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.001)	(0.005)	(0.024)	(0.007)	
Male	0.009	0.03	0.005	0.039	0.123	0.022	
	(0.015)	(0.047)	(0.010)	(0.050)	(0.190)	(0.044)	
Head of the household	0.21	-0.333***	0.462***	0.929*	0	0	
	(0.248)	(0.059)	(0.065)	(0.478)	(.)	(.)	
Enrolled in private health insurance	0.077***	0.14	0.078**	0.371**	0.614	0.360**	
	(0.027)	(0.094)	(0.030)	(0.155)	(0.433)	(0.151)	
Enrolled in public health insurance	-0.021	-0.02	-0.019	-0.084	-0.083	-0.069	
	(0.023)	(0.047)	(0.027)	(0.086)	(0.191)	(0.115)	

weating the poolest weating quintie is the reference group	Wealth	(The	poorest	wealth	quintile	is the	reference	group)
--	--------	------	---------	--------	----------	--------	-----------	-------	---

Poorer	-0.01			-0.04		
	(0.024)			(0.116)		
Middle	0.071***			0.295***		
	(0.024)			(0.070)		
Richer	0.122***			0.522***		
	(0.026)			(0.187)		
Richest	0.120***			0.516***		
	(0.029)			(0.132)		
Household size, members only	0.001	0.004	-0.009***	0.004	0.015	-0.040***
	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.012)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference group)						
Urban	0.025	0.032	0.043	0.109	0.135	0.187
	(0.019)	(0.087)	(0.030)	(0.149)	(0.361)	(0.133)
Town	-0.01	0.007	0.015	-0.042	0.027	0.063
	(0.022)	(0.053)	(0.033)	(0.161)	(0.217)	(0.137)
Education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of the household ("No education level of the head of thead of the head of	tion" is the ret	ference group))			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.029	-0.112**	-0.034	-0.116	-0.457**	-0.137
	(0.029)	(0.051)	(0.035)	(0.119)	(0.214)	(0.151)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.034	-0.075	-0.044	-0.134	-0.31	-0.176
	(0.029)	(0.053)	(0.035)	(0.144)	(0.222)	(0.151)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.042	0.004	-0.036	-0.167	0.022	-0.141
	(0.033)	(0.107)	(0.033)	(0.115)	(0.447)	(0.141)
cons	0.623***	0.595**	0.662***	0.491	0.384	0.662***
	(0.064)	(0.208)	(0.030)	(0.380)	(0.863)	(0.128)
r2	0.043	0.032	0.037			
N	3920	881	3545	3920	880	3543

1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after the interview date to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.

2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard

errors are reported in parentheses.

- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S3. ITS regression on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization among children aged less than 5-years old, year 1996-2012

		OI C		Lazit		
		OLS		Logit		
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty
Trend	0.022	0.021	0.016	0.112	0.099	0.081
	(0.023)	(0.031)	(0.014)	(0.111)	(0.137)	(0.063)
Level change after user-fee-removal policy (Post)	0.256	0.219	0.226*	1.246	0.966	1.118*
	(0.171)	(0.262)	(0.113)	(0.866)	(1.130)	(0.608)
Trend change after user-fee-removal policy (Post*trend)	-0.026	-0.023	-0.02	-0.131	-0.105	-0.103
	(0.026)	(0.038)	(0.017)	(0.130)	(0.164)	(0.084)
Age	-0.041***	-0.053**	-0.031***	-0.189***	-0.230***	-0.145***
	(0.009)	(0.018)	(0.007)	(0.044)	(0.083)	(0.035)
Male	0.012	-0.063	0.023	0.058	-0.28	0.107
	(0.023)	(0.056)	(0.024)	(0.109)	(0.232)	(0.110)
Enrolled in private health insurance	0.072	-0.071	0.106**	0.391*	-0.307	0.552**
-	(0.044)	(0.060)	(0.047)	(0.236)	(0.251)	(0.264)

Enrolled in public health insurance	0.097**	0.075	0.056	0.486**	0.337	0.295
	(0.043)	(0.129)	(0.049)	(0.227)	(0.550)	(0.247)
Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the refer	rence group)					
Poorer	0.037			0.161		
	(0.040)			(0.172)		
Middle	0.106**			0.474***		
	(0.037)			(0.164)		
Richer	0.165***			0.767***		
	(0.053)			(0.245)		
Richest	0.140**			0.657**		
	(0.061)			(0.324)		
Household size, members only	0.003	-0.004	-0.003	0.013	-0.018	-0.013
	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.023)	(0.054)	(0.025)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference gro	up)					
Urban	0.056	0.028	0.071*	0.273	0.112	0.342*
	(0.042)	(0.096)	(0.037)	(0.204)	(0.415)	(0.190)
Town	-0.008	-0.091	0.041	-0.039	-0.395	0.184
	(0.047)	(0.089)	(0.043)	(0.219)	(0.389)	(0.198)
Education level of the head of the household ("	No education	" is the referen	ce group)			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.168***	-0.340***	-0.090**	-0.770***	-1.512***	-0.396**
	(0.040)	(0.089)	(0.040)	(0.191)	(0.426)	(0.173)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.079	-0.189***	-0.050**	-0.375	-0.852***	-0.226***
	(0.045)	(0.053)	(0.020)	(0.229)	(0.246)	(0.088)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.091*	-0.141**	-0.043	-0.435*	-0.642**	-0.201
	(0.045)	(0.063)	(0.049)	(0.229)	(0.279)	(0.227)
cons	0.541***	0.751***	0.621***	0.109	1.079	0.458
	(0.140)	(0.190)	(0.067)	(0.672)	(0.833)	(0.323)
r2	0.061	0.082	0.041			
N	1901	424	1767	1901	424	1767

- 1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after the interview date to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.
- 2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.
- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S4. ITS regression on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure as a share of the household's non-food consumption, year 1996-2012

		Under 18 yea	rs old		Under 5 year	s old
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty
Trend	-0.002	-0.006	0.001	-0.001	-0.003	0.002
	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.002)
Level change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post)	-0.056***	-0.118***	-0.031	-0.070***	-0.116***	-0.043**
	(0.014)	(0.035)	(0.020)	(0.018)	(0.031)	(0.015)
Trend change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post*trend)	0.004	0.014**	0.000	0.005	0.012**	0.000
	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.003)
Age	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.001***	-0.002	-0.006***	-0.001
	0.000	(0.001)	0.000	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Male	-0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.002	0.004	-0.001
	(0.003)	(0.009)	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.010)	(0.005)
Head of the household	0.044	-0.064**	0.103***			
	(0.033)	(0.026)	(0.006)			
Enrolled in private health insurance	-0.008	0.027	-0.020***	-0.011	-0.029**	-0.023**
	(0.005)	(0.028)	(0.005)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.008)

Enrolled in public health insurance	-0.008	-0.014	-0.005	-0.007	0.004	-0.005
-	(0.005)	(0.016)	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.014)	(0.008)
Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the	e reference gro	oup)				
Poorer	-0.004	-		-0.006		
	(0.006)			(0.008)		
Middle	0.001			0.004		
	(0.008)			(0.009)		
Richer	-0.003			-0.006		
	(0.009)			(0.013)		
Richest	-0.021**			-0.028**		
	(0.009)			(0.011)		
Household size, members only	-0.005***	-0.003	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.003*	-0.007***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference	e group)					
Urban	-0.002	-0.01	-0.005	0.002	-0.001	-0.002
	(0.003)	(0.016)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.024)	(0.005)
Town	-0.009***	-0.001	-0.007**	-0.005	0.000	-0.002
	(0.002)	(0.008)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.007)
Education level of the head of the househ	old ("No educ	cation" is the	reference group)			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.01	-0.021	-0.01	-0.017	-0.035*	-0.012
	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.009)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.014**	-0.022**	-0.012**	-0.014*	-0.023	-0.013
	(0.005)	(0.009)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.014)	(0.009)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.012**	0.004	-0.014	-0.012*	-0.009	-0.013
	(0.005)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.013)	(0.012)
cons	0.132***	0.131**	0.115***	0.141***	0.127**	0.128***
	(0.012)	(0.046)	(0.007)	(0.020)	(0.043)	(0.015)
r2	0.051	0.066	0.052	0.07	0.076	0.071
Ν	3873	869	3500	1876	461	1699

 We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after the interview date to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.

- 2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.
- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education of the dwelling instead.
- We dropped 1% of individuals with the highest healthcare cost (outliers). 4.
- 5. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.

6. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

	Y=the children's out-of-pocket healthcar								
	Y=wł	nether the childre	en visit a health	expenditure	as a share of hou	usehold's non-food			
	practiti	oner if fell ill in	the past 4 weeks		consumption				
	1)	2) 3)		4)	5)	6)			
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty			
Trend	0.016	0.034	0.011	0	0.007	-0.001			
	(0.010)	(0.034)	(0.011)	(0.002)	(0.012)	(0.002)			
Level change after user-fee-removal									
policy (Post)	0.015	0.048	-0.013	-0.009	0.03	-0.02			
	(0.049)	(0.185)	(0.069)	(0.014)	(0.047)	(0.016)			
Trend change after user-fee-removal									
policy (Post*trend)	-0.002	-0.012	0.003	-0.002	-0.009	0			
	(0.009)	(0.032)	(0.012)	(0.002)	(0.011)	(0.002)			
Age	0.001	0	0.001	0.001***	0	0.001***			
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)			
Male	-0.051**	-0.024	-0.053**	-0.005*	0.001	-0.006			
	(0.023)	(0.046)	(0.021)	(0.002)	(0.011)	(0.004)			
Head of the household	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.005**	-0.011	0.007***			
	(0.017)	(0.059)	(0.013)	(0.002)	(0.014)	(0.002)			

Table S5. Falsification tests on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization and the households' financial burden, assuming the user-fee-removal policy targeted at adults over 18, OLS regressions

Enrolled in private health insurance	0.112***	0.089	0.123***	-0.010**	0.022	-0.010**
-	(0.019)	(0.064)	(0.023)	(0.003)	(0.014)	(0.003)
Enrolled in public health insurance	0.044	0.058	0.046	-0.01	-0.001	-0.011
•	(0.029)	(0.077)	(0.032)	(0.006)	(0.019)	(0.008)
Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is th	e reference gr	oup)				
Poorer	0.041**	-		0.015**		
	(0.014)			(0.006)		
Middle	0.075***			0.015**		
	(0.010)			(0.006)		
Richer	0.094***			0.018***		
	(0.014)			(0.004)		
Richest	0.124***			0.022***		
	(0.016)			(0.003)		
Household size, members only	0.015***	0.020**	0.007**	-0.003***	0.003**	-0.006***
-	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the referen	ce group)					
Urban	-0.012	0.042	-0.007	-0.01	-0.007	-0.009
	(0.027)	(0.052)	(0.025)	(0.006)	(0.016)	(0.005)
Town	-0.008	0.091	-0.012	-0.011*	-0.008	-0.01
	(0.024)	(0.051)	(0.027)	(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.006)
Education level of the head of the househ	nold ("No educ	cation" is the re	ference group)			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	0.041	0.056	0.043	0.007	-0.003	0.008
	(0.048)	(0.124)	(0.040)	(0.013)	(0.023)	(0.012)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	0.011	-0.01	0.022	0.013	0.016	0.011
	(0.046)	(0.128)	(0.047)	(0.013)	(0.021)	(0.012)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.059	-0.041	-0.038	-0.001	0.009	0
	(0.059)	(0.076)	(0.054)	(0.013)	(0.033)	(0.013)
cons	0.336***	0.153	0.481***	0.055***	-0.016	0.092***
	(0.093)	(0.191)	(0.081)	(0.015)	(0.050)	(0.014)
r2	0.057	0.086	0.049	0.065	0.034	0.081
N	4165	595	3570	4165	595	3570

- 1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after the interview date to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.
- 2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.
- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S6. Falsification tests on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization among children aged less than18-years, assuming the user-fee-removal policy was implemented on May 28th, 2006

		OLS		Logit		
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty
Trend	-0.006	-0.006	-0.006	-0.02	-0.021	-0.023
	(0.049)	(0.060)	(0.050)	(0.211)	(0.286)	(0.209)
Level change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post)	-0.014	-0.067	-0.033	-0.06	-0.223	-0.166
	(0.197)	(0.269)	(0.215)	(0.861)	(1.295)	(0.934)
Trend change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post*trend)	0.021	0.03	0.022	0.091	0.12	0.096
	(0.050)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.217)	(0.259)	(0.227)
Age	-0.009***	-0.004	-0.009***	-0.042***	-0.02	-0.042***
	(0.002)	(0.008)	(0.002)	(0.008)	(0.036)	(0.009)
Male	-0.021	-0.081	-0.002	-0.095	-0.359	-0.006
	(0.020)	(0.054)	(0.028)	(0.093)	(0.234)	(0.128)
Head of the household	-0.157	0	-0.196	-0.684	0	-0.82
	(0.233)	(.)	(0.250)	(1.146)	(.)	(1.206)
Enrolled in private health insurance	0.109***	0.148	0.120***	0.553***	0.624	0.605***

	(0.028)	(0.119)	(0.037)	(0.154)	(0.536)	(0.200)	
Enrolled in public health insurance	0.138	-0.048	0.152	0.655	-0.247	0.737	
-	(0.090)	(0.342)	(0.086)	(0.487)	(1.375)	(0.483)	
Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the reference group)							
Poorer	0.035	-		0.148			
	(0.037)			(0.157)			
Middle	0.082*			0.356**			
	(0.038)			(0.164)			
Richer	0.129**			0.579***			
	(0.047)			(0.217)			
Richest	0.099**			0.442**			
	(0.042)			(0.196)			
Household size, members only	-0.009**	-0.014	-0.012	-0.039**	-0.064	-0.053*	
	(0.004)	(0.012)	(0.007)	(0.017)	(0.048)	(0.031)	
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference	group)						
Urban	0.029	-0.012	0.048	0.131	-0.045	0.227	
	(0.044)	(0.086)	(0.048)	(0.205)	(0.367)	(0.232)	
Town	0.022	0.034	0.03	0.102	0.16	0.136	
	(0.064)	(0.081)	(0.054)	(0.287)	(0.340)	(0.243)	
Education level of the head of the househol	d ("No educat	tion" is the refer	rence group)				
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.064	-0.319***	0.041	-0.297	-1.577***	0.197	
	(0.037)	(0.072)	(0.055)	(0.183)	(0.203)	(0.244)	
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.057	-0.279***	0.037	-0.268	-1.404***	0.18	
	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.049)	(0.174)	(0.290)	(0.221)	
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.083**	-0.23	0.002	-0.392***	-1.198	0.015	
	(0.031)	(0.131)	(0.066)	(0.151)	(0.735)	(0.304)	
cons	0.559**	0.779**	0.560**	0.233	1.375	0.204	
	(0.194)	(0.295)	(0.218)	(0.828)	(1.283)	(0.910)	
r2	0.061	0.088	0.049				
Ν	1960	332	1628	1952	331	1621	
Note:							

- 1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after May 28th, 2006, to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.
- 2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.
- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.

5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S7. Falsification tests on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on healthcare utilization among children aged less than 5-years, assuming the user-fee-removal policy was implemented on May 28th, 2006

		OLS		Logit		
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in
	Overall	in poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	in poverty	poverty
Trend	0.007	0.054	-0.015	0.036	0.287	-0.059
	(0.064)	(0.085)	(0.091)	(0.267)	(0.362)	(0.376)
Level change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post)	0.061	0.016	-0.028	0.294	0.22	-0.114
	(0.212)	(0.381)	(0.325)	(0.879)	(1.638)	(1.338)
Trend change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post*trend)	0.004	-0.03	0.026	0.014	-0.17	0.113
	(0.059)	(0.084)	(0.087)	(0.246)	(0.355)	(0.360)
Age	-0.040***	-0.096***	-0.026**	-0.188***	-0.439***	-0.126***
	(0.007)	(0.027)	(0.009)	(0.038)	(0.115)	(0.042)
Male	-0.011	-0.087	0.014	-0.046	-0.434	0.075
	(0.031)	(0.055)	(0.042)	(0.145)	(0.275)	(0.197)
Enrolled in private health insurance	0.049	-0.329**	0.082	0.248	-1.584***	0.424
	(0.040)	(0.120)	(0.051)	(0.203)	(0.563)	(0.271)
Enrolled in public health insurance	0.139	0.165	0.144	0.702	0.769	0.73
	(0.091)	(0.204)	(0.093)	(0.516)	(0.843)	(0.539)

Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the	reference gro	oup)				
Poorer	0.11		0.469*			
	(0.063)			(0.276)		
Middle	0.148**			0.654**		
	(0.060)			(0.275)		
Richer	0.183**			0.835***		
	(0.063)			(0.302)		
Richest	0.183**			0.844**		
	(0.080)			(0.414)		
Household size, members only	-0.005	-0.013	-0.009	-0.022	-0.058	-0.041
	(0.007)	(0.018)	(0.007)	(0.031)	(0.082)	(0.034)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference	e group)					
Urban	0.029	0.051	0.046	0.132	0.26	0.223
	(0.047)	(0.085)	(0.047)	(0.220)	(0.361)	(0.231)
Town	0.056	-0.032	0.078	0.271	-0.155	0.383
	(0.080)	(0.110)	(0.071)	(0.372)	(0.509)	(0.343)
Education level of the head of the househo	old ("No educ	cation" is the re-	ference group)			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.016	-0.281**	0.082	-0.063	-1.390**	0.393
	(0.069)	(0.119)	(0.054)	(0.311)	(0.568)	(0.241)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	0.01	-0.215**	0.087	0.055	-1.055**	0.417*
	(0.061)	(0.083)	(0.049)	(0.287)	(0.432)	(0.219)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	0.004	-0.133	0.074	0.023	-0.69	0.352
	(0.068)	(0.203)	(0.060)	(0.319)	(0.965)	(0.276)
cons	0.444	0.859*	0.548	-0.299	1.562	0.117
	(0.278)	(0.438)	(0.393)	(1.152)	(1.845)	(1.637)
r2	0.072	0.173	0.047			
Ν	960	169	791	959	169	790

1. We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after May 28th, 2006, to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the policy took place.

2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard

errors are reported in parentheses.

- 3. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the dwelling instead.
- 4. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 5. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.

Table S8. Falsification tests on the impact of user-fee-removal policy on out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure as a share of the household's non-food consumption, assuming the user-fee-removal policy was implemented on May 28th, 2006

		Under 18				
	1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)
	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty	Overall	In poverty	Not in poverty
Trend	-0.001	0.003	-0.005	0.009	0.035	-0.001
	(0.010)	(0.014)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.022)	(0.015)
Level change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post)	-0.028	-0.042	-0.039	0.004	0.071	-0.027
	(0.048)	(0.081)	(0.046)	(0.040)	(0.120)	(0.058)
Trend change after user-fee-removal						
policy (Post*trend)	0.001	0.001	0.004	-0.009	-0.029	0
	(0.011)	(0.016)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.023)	(0.015)
Age	-0.001**	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.003*	-0.002	-0.003**
	0.000	(0.001)	0.000	(0.002)	(0.005)	(0.001)
Male	-0.004	-0.017	-0.002	-0.007	-0.023	-0.004
	(0.004)	(0.012)	(0.005)	(0.008)	(0.018)	(0.010)
Head of the household	0.012	0	0.003	0	0	0
	(0.064)	(.)	(0.063)	(.)	(.)	(.)
Enrolled in private health insurance	-0.01	0.042	-0.018**	-0.014	-0.046***	-0.016
	(0.007)	(0.024)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.011)

Enrolled in public health insurance	-0.01	-0.055**	-0.009	-0.008	-0.044**	-0.007
-	(0.007)	(0.019)	(0.007)	(0.013)	(0.017)	(0.013)
Wealth (The poorest wealth quintile is the re-	eference group)				
Poorer	-0.002			0		
	(0.006)			(0.005)		
Middle	0.007			0.01		
	(0.004)			(0.008)		
Richer	0.001			0.002		
	(0.011)			(0.012)		
Richest	-0.012			-0.01		
	(0.007)			(0.006)		
Household size, members only	-0.006***	-0.002	-0.007***	-0.005***	0	-0.007***
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Place of residence ("Rural" is the reference	group)					
Urban	-0.008*	-0.013	-0.008*	-0.006	0	-0.010**
	(0.004)	(0.012)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.020)	(0.004)
Town	-0.006***	-0.007	-0.007***	0.002	-0.009	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.007)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.008)	(0.003)
Education level of the head of the household	l ("No educati	on" is the refe	erence group)			
Primary education (Grade 1-6)	-0.004	-0.013	0	-0.004	-0.041**	0.006
•	(0.009)	(0.018)	(0.011)	(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.013)
Secondary education (Grade 7-13)	-0.009	-0.022*	-0.005	-0.007	-0.024**	-0.001
	(0.006)	(0.012)	(0.009)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.009)
Higher education (Grade 13+)	-0.007	0.007	-0.008	-0.006	-0.017	-0.001
	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.010)
cons	0.119**	0.085	0.138***	0.08	-0.042	0.127*
	(0.047)	(0.071)	(0.044)	(0.049)	(0.133)	(0.066)
r2	0.069	0.084	0.079	0.076	0.093	0.093
Ν	1938	343	1595	1931	342	1589

 Note:
 Note:

 1.
 We excluded all observations interviewed within four weeks after May 28th, 2006, to make sure there is no confusion over whether the illnesses happened before or after the

policy took place.

- 2. The design of JSLC is a two-stage stratified random sampling design, with the first stage a selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), and the second stage a selection of dwellings. Standard errors are clustered at sampling region level, which is one level above the PSUs. Two PSUs were grouped into one sampling region. The robust standard errors are reported in parentheses. The education level of the household is obtained through the following approach: If the education level of the household head is available, we use it directly; if not available, we use the education level of the spouse of the household head instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education level of the household member instead; if still not available, we use the maximum education of the dwelling instead.
- 3. ***, **, * represents significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level respectively.
- 4. SEs are presented in the parenthesis.