Online Supplementary Document

Chima and Homedes. Impact of global health governance on country health systems: the case of HIV initiatives in Nigeria

J Glob Health 2015;5:010407

Supporting Quotes

Quote number	Quote	Respondent number	Respondent background (type of organization)
1	"A lot of partners have direct access to our states and even to the Local Governments Once they get their papers [from NPC] they can go anywhere the national planning commission isn't really aligned with the health sector as they [sic] should be"	R-10	Federal Government (Ministry or Agency)
2	"The role of NASCP is to ensure that the policies, guidelines and protocols, and infrastructure are in place for effective service delivery and they also monitor to ensure that the services being provided are of acceptable quality. Unfortunately things are mixed up and the organizations are crossing boundaries into each other's roles and responsibilities. NACA has no business receiving grants and implementing. The roles need to be clearly defined."	R-12	Development partner/GHI
3	"A commission for civil service reform recommended the scrapping of NACA and many other agencies in the country due to the duplication and wastage of resources they cause"	R-1	Federal Government (Ministry or Agency)
4	"The country has not done a good job at coordinating donor funded programs They should set the strategy and then request for help to fill in gaps where they might exist. I am yet to see a well-organized donor coordination meeting led by the FMOH or NACA."	R-12	Development partner/GHI
5	"What does not happen very often is NASCP or even NACA being able to direct and redirect efforts based on the analysis of the system to channel resources to where they are needed most That is the main challenge They are not in charge of it. Even NACA is not in charge of it when I talk coordination that is what I mean"	R-9	Federal Government (Ministry or Agency)
6	"There is lack of leadership and strong policy direction at the State level with regards to HIV program management The States have to play the leading role while the development partners key into the States' plans. Unfortunately as it stands at the moment, State governments do not have the capacity for such visionary leadership."	R-25	Civil Society/Advo cacy Group

7	"Within the programs, accountability is high but I do not	R-5	Academic/
	think that the health workers necessarily translate the		Tertiary
	lessons to other areas of the health sector that they might		Hospital
	find themselves because of deeply entrenched systemic		
	issues"	D 25	Civil
8	"The civil society has had its own problems One thing I	R-25	Civil Society/Advo
	observed from my work is that many of the CSOs readily monitor and chastise other bodies implementing health		Society/Advo cacy Group
	programs but they do not want to be monitored nor let		cacy Group
	their processes be scrutinized by external parties There		
	is need for stronger regulation of CSOs and other		
	organizations in the not-for-profit realm."		
9	"HIV medicine has strengthened the entire system. You	R-11	Local NGO
5	know that Nigeria has issues with paucity of health data,		Local NGO
	and this is because of poor culture of documentation. If		
	you don't document, you cannot report. We [the IPs]		
	have put protocols in place for proper data		
	documentation"		
10	"Training does not translate to usage. This is the case in	R-19	Development
	Nigeria. Many people have been trained many times over		partner/GHI
	but the system in which they operate does not encourage		(but formerly
	using data for decision making so their skills and		with State
	knowledge are not put to any useIt is not that data is		Government)
	not being collected but the system is not there for them		
	to be used the State government that we are trying to		
	strengthen does not do anything with the data."		
11	"On the implementation of MEPI, unfortunately we	R-5	Academic/
	cannot do anything about [the] quantity of doctors that		Tertiary
	we are training as there are caps by the MDCN [Medical		Hospital
	and Dental Council of Nigeria], based on the lecturer-to-		
	student-ratio, facilities, etc. So you cannot go beyond		
	that Since we in Nigeria concluded that we cannot do		
	anything about numbers, we decided to focus on quality		
	by reviewing the curriculum for training medical students.		
	By so doing we can improve [the] competence of our		
	medical graduates I think where MEPI can make a		
	difference is the issue of quality of doctorsAnother area		
	of impact is the issue of capacity of medical practitioners		
	to compete for research grants. For instance we did not		
	even have eRA [Electronic Research Administration]		
	registration. I am in the process of securing this for the		
	University and it was courtesy of MEPI. If you don't have		
12	eRA you cannot get NIH grants, so it is a big deal."	P_1	Eedoral
12	"Too much attention is being paid to trainings; the	R-1	Federal Government
	system is obsessed with capacity building."		
			(Ministry or
12	"A lot of training have taken place as noted, but the	R-12	Agency)
13	"A lot of training have taken place as noted, but the	K-12	Development
	question is whether they [are] the right training given the situation. Some people have been trained several times		partner/GHI
	on the same issues. We need to know if the lessons from		
	on the same issues. We need to know if the lessons from		

	the trainings are retained by the trainees and how much		
	the trainings have impacted the system, if at all. I do not		
	believe that we have the right trainings to the scale that		
	we should"		
14	"We have gone through phases where we paid so much	R-7	Local NGO
	per diem [and] we started cutting down. We had		
	administrative person[nel] coming in for ART		
	[antiretroviral therapy] training Over time partners have		
	realized that nepotism at the sites decided who went for		
	trainings, so at a time partners started picking who goes		
	for training."		
15	"Unfortunately in some instances what we have seen is	R-8	International
	redistribution of health workers by the government		NGO/Contrac
	whereby they post health workers from facilities without		tor
	donor-funded projects to ones where projects are to be		
	sited, in order to meet the minimum requirement of the		
	development partner. In such cases you have good		
	outputs from project facilities at the expense of other		
	communities with facilities that are not being supported		
	by donor funds. So we cannot say that such approaches strengthen the health system."		
16	"I have seen many doctors and other health workers	R-19	Development
	move from the public sector to international		partner/GHI
	development programs. Many medical students now		(but formerly
	aspire for international development jobs. It has a lot to		with State
	do with the remuneration and work environment. It is a		Government)
	fact that international development programs drain		
	human resources from the public system. This is more		
	worrisome when the doctors that move over to these		
	programs assume non-clinical roles. Added to external		
	migration, this could portend problem[s] for the system."		
17	"They cannot just expect that since the machines are	R-5	Academic/
	already here, they can just stretch us a little more to		Tertiary
	achieve their results. It doesn't work that way. Some of us		Hospital
	are already overstretched."		
18	"Facilities that are supported to provide HIV care become	R-11	Local NGO
	strengthened to provide other services as well There is		
	always a big difference pre and post the advent of HIV		
	services at most facilities. We meet some of these		
	facilities in very dilapidated states, and as you can		
	imagine there is no way we can get operational without		
	putting some basic things in place; for example basic		
	amenities like water and electricity. And when we put		
	these in place, it is definitely to the advantage of all		
10	patients."	7 7	
19	"Through this [HIV] program we have learnt the	R-27	Faith-based
	importance of quality assurance and the need for training		Hospital
20	and re-training of health workers."	рг	Acadomia
20	"on the issue of home-based care, though we have had	R-5	Academic/
	hospital visiting units for a long time they were barely		Tertiary
	active. But now the HIV-home based care people –		Hospital

	volunteer community health workers – do some serious work in maintaining contacts with patients and ensuring adherence to care. This improves retention rates I think over time the learnings will diffuse to other health services. We are becoming more conscious of the need for follow upThese elements are stressed in HIV programs and we, the health workers, are learning the importance of these issues."		
21	"It diverted the attention of staff because they got stipends [and] they got all sorts of incentives for doing the HIV work to the detriment of the rest because we did not have an excess of human resource for health in the first place. So you find out that everyone wanted to be on the HIV program, even the non-health workers and I think this is one of the biggest problems."	R-10	Federal Government (Ministry or Agency)
22	"the general approach in making decision for where to site treatment services trades equity for efficiency: we go for where we can reach the maximum number of patients"	R-17	International NGO/Contrac tor
23	"What has happened in most instances is that the IPs choose to site programs in facilities that have sufficient manpower to deliver the services they are bringing to the locality; where there is insufficient human resources they simply move on to viable facilities. There is documented evidence for this IPs have been locating services only where things are working. This is a damning critique to the HSS [health system strengthening] work that has been done as part of PEPFAR; you cannot claim to be strengthening the system when you only choose to operate in facilities where things are already working well. It is a case of to him who has more, more will be given"	R-35	Federal Government (Ministry or Agency)
24	"HIV is the only program whereby we plan for products to move directly from central to facilities. This is because ARVs [antiretroviral drugs] have short shelf lives"	R-31	International NGO/Contrac tor
25	"The current system is not sustainable considering that is expensive to run I can tell you categorically that if SCMS were to pull out of the system right now, the supply chain system will crumble and there will be stock outs all over the country within two months"	R-36	Local NGO